

Stephanie Kost

From: Connie Taylor [Lord1727@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2004 1:49 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Connie Taylor
7465 E. Irwin Ave
Mesa, AZ 85208

October 20, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Connie Taylor

Stephanie Kost

From: Connie Taylor [Lord1727@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2004 1:49 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Connie Taylor
7465 E. Irwin Ave
Mesa, AZ 85208

October 20, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Connie Taylor

Stephanie Kost

From: Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh
1337 Montcrest Way
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh
8063568438

Stephanie Kost

From: Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh
1337 Montcrest Way
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh
8063568438

Stephanie Kost

From: Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh
1337 Montcrest Way
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh
8063568438

Stephanie Kost

From: Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh
1337 Montcrest Way
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh
8063568438

Stephanie Kost

From: Amy Limbaugh [climbaugh@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:51 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Amy Limbaugh
1337 Montcrest Way
Amarillo, Tx. 79124

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Amy D. Limbaugh
8063568438

Stephanie Kost

From: Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez
3922 Elm St.
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

Stephanie Kost

From: Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez
3922 Elm St.
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

Stephanie Kost

From: Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez
3922 Elm St.
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

Stephanie Kost

From: Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez
3922 Elm St.
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

Stephanie Kost

From: Ana Martinez [ana@winninginlife.org]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 4:34 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ana Martinez
3922 Elm St.
East Chicago, Indiana 46312

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ana Luisa Martinez

Stephanie Kost

From: Ananda Savage [hppygrl732000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:45 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ananda Savage
18147 Harwood Ave
Homewood, IL 60430

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ananda D. Savage

Stephanie Kost

From: Ananda Savage [happygirl732000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:45 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ananda Savage
18147 Harwood Ave
Homewood, IL 60430

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ananda D. Savage

Stephanie Kost

From: Ananda Savage [hppygrl732000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:45 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ananda Savage
18147 Harwood Ave
Homewood, IL 60430

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell

,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ananda D. Savage

Stephanie Kost

From: Ananda Savage [hppygrl732000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 12:45 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Ananda Savage
18147 Harwood Ave
Homewood, IL 60430

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ananda D. Savage

Stephanie Kost

From: Angel Garner [angelgarner@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:43 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angel Garner
34 Cobblestone Road
Houma, Louisiana 70360

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angel Garner
985-223-5760

Stephanie Kost

From: Angel Garner [angelgarner@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:43 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angel Garner
34 Cobblestone Road
Houma, Louisiana 70360

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angel Garner
985-223-5760

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte , MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte , MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte, MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte, MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte , MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryle Pritchett [cpritchett@calhouninsurance.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:44 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryle Pritchett
President
Calhoun Insurance
8 N. Main Street
Farmington, MO 63640

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryle Pritchett
573-756-3789
President
Calhoun Insurance

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryle Pritchett [cpritchett@calhouninsurance.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:44 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryle Pritchett
President
Calhoun Insurance
8 N. Main Street
Farmington, MO 63640

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryle Pritchett
573-756-3789
President
Calhoun Insurance

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryle Pritchett [cpritchett@calhouninsurance.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:44 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryle Pritchett
President
Calhoun Insurance
8 N. Main Street
Farmington, MO 63640

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryle Pritchett
573-756-3789
President
Calhoun Insurance